

Use of participatory methods in evaluation

Keetie Roelen

Research Fellow Co-Director, Centre for Social Protection Institute of Development Studies, UK

Shue Yan University Hong Kong, 23 May 2019



Our strategy:





www.ids.ac.uk



This seminar



Use of participatory methods in evidence-based practice

- What are participatory methods?
- Examples of participatory methods
- Putting theory into practice
- Reflecting on pros and cons





What are participatory methods?

www.ids.ac.uk



Principles of participatory methods



Shifting from research 'on' to research 'with'

Shifting location of **power** in generation of knowledge

- handing down power from researchers to participants
- 'democratising' production of knowledge and information
- joint and collaborative process of knowledge creation
- converging science and practice
- reflective practice

(see Cornwall & Jewkes, 1995; Baum et al., 2006; Bergold & Thomas, 2012)

www.ids.ac.uk



Participatory methods: shifting paradigms





Engaging, Learning, Transforming

www.ids.ac.uk



Participatory methods: shifting paradigms

	RRA	PRA	
Period of major development	riod of major development Late 1970s, 1980s		
Major innovators based in	Universities	NGOs	
Main users at first	Aid agencies Universities	NGOs Government field organizations	
Key resource earlier undervalued	Local people's knowledge	Local people's analytical capabilities	
Main innovations	Methods Team management	Behavior Experiential training	
Predominant mode	Elicitive, Extractive	Facilitating, Participatory	
Ideal objectives	Learning by outsiders	Empowerment of local people	
Longer term outcomes	Plans, projects publications	Sustainable local action and institutions	

Source: Chambers, 1994

www.ids.ac.uk

Engaging, Learning, Transforming



Participatory methods: spectrum



Participatory inquiry

Participatory Action Research (PAR)





Participatory methods: role of researcher

Traditional paradigm	Participatory paradigm
Being an investigator	Being a facilitator
Leading an extractive process	Leading an empowering process
Locus of knowledge with experts	Locus of knowledge with people
Having knowledge about subject area	Having open and inquisitive attitude and facilitation skills

Based on Chambers, 1994; Mayoux & Chambers, 2005





Characteristics of participatory methods

3 key characteristics

- 1) Participatory process
- 2) Accessible tools
- 3) Empowerment goal

(see Mayoux & Chambers, 2005)



Example: anti-poverty intervention in Haiti



Example: anti-poverty intervention in Haiti

Chemen Lavi Miyò (CLM) - The Pathway to a Better Life

- Targeted at poor women with children
- Components:
- Enterprise selection and training
- Cash transfers (24 weekly transfers \$5.60)
- Asset transfer (value of \$155) to set up business
- Access to savings activities
- Health messaging (12 messages on rotating basis)
- Weekly home-visits for monitoring, training and messaging
- In-kind support (e.g. housing support, water filter)



Example: anti-poverty intervention in Haiti





METHODS

participatory tools

- Community mapping
- Family and social capital mapping
- Seasonal calendar
- Daily activity clock
- Body map
- Childhood aspirations exercise
- Practices interview
- CLM programme ranking
- Participant observation

Example: community map



Example: programme scoring

03-04-2018 MKCSSPOUSE5-4 Timounes CLM bay Twalet A CLM bay bet Chri Bay Kay 30 LM hay Lojan (4) M hay Konsey CLM fé Fómasyon Bou mann yo (

Example: body map







Participatory methods: give it a try!

How does being a student affect your body and mind? Use a body map to discuss and illustrate your answers.





Participatory methods: reflections?

What did you like? What did you NOT like? What could be done differently?





Participatory methods: analysis

Depending on purpose of research and sample:

- Case-based analysis (using case study compendium)
- Thematic analysis (using coding methods/ software)
- Combination of case-based and thematic analysis





Example: case-based analysis



(see Roelen & Leon-Himmelstine, 2018)





Example: thematic analysis

components	LCFGCLM2-2	LCFGCLM5-2	MBFGCLM2-2	MBFGCLM5-2	MKFGCLM2-1	MKFGCLM5-2
cash/ money	7	4	15	6	5	6
livestock/ animals	5	2	7	15	14	7
bank account/ access to savings	3		13		7	1
small retail business		2				
housing	14	1	16	9	3	2
latrine	3	4	0	6	2	9
water filter	10	8	22	9	6	
solar lamp			3	5		
food	2					
millet plants				6		
training	9	6	3	4	11	
learning how to	8	9			2	
write our names	-				-	
carnival for children		4				
Total # beans	61	40	79	60	50	25

(see Roelen et al. 2019)

Engaging, Learning, Transforming

www.ids.ac.uk



Resources

- <u>https://www.participatorymethods.org</u>
- <u>http://participatesdgs.org/methods/</u>
- http://www.networkedtoolbox.com/





Thank you!

Keetie Roelen

Institute of Development Studies

k.roelen@ids.ac.uk @KeetieRoelen

